```
Next up is 0001.
(Prospective Juror 0001 enters courtroom.)
THE COURT: Ma'am, if you could please state your
juror number into the microphone.
8
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm 0001.
THE COURT: That's an easy number to remember.
10
11
This witness said yes to two questions, question 13
So ma'am, I'm just going to give you an opportunity to
12
13
explain your responses. Okay? That's what we're doing.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
THE COURT: Question number 13, which you answered yes
to, asked you if you had ever served before as a juror in a
16
civil or criminal case, or on a grand jury, and was there
anything about your service that might affect your ability to
serve fairly and impartially as a juror in this trial.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To that question, the first one
20
21
22
was, yes, I have served on a jury. And no, it would not affect
my ·
THE COURT: Sure.
23
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- ability.
24
THE COURT: So how many juries have you served on?
How many?
Case 1:23-cr-00241-TSC-GMH Document 83 Filed 09/04/24 Page 140 of 273
140
1
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: How many were there?
3
4
6
THE COURT: How many juries? Just one jury trial
or --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: Just one?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: And was it a criminal or civil case, do
you remember?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm kind of confused, because a
criminal case is when --
11
THE COURT: A criminal case is when someone has been
accused of a crime. Do you remember the nature of the case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. And it just so happens to
have been what took place on January 6th.
THE COURT: Okay. So it was another January 6th case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17
THE COURT: In this courthouse?
18
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19
THE COURT: You've served on a jury in a January 6th
21
case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you, did the jury return
23
a verdict in that case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: I don't want to know what the verdict is. Case 1:23-cr-00241-TSC-GMH Document 83
Filed 09/04/24 Page 141 of 273
141
1
I want to know what it did. You've been on a January 6th case
before. Ma'am, you understand that every trial is a new trial?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4
5
THE COURT: Every defendant has the presumption of
innocence?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: And you understand that the trial in this
case would have to be based on the evidence presented in this
case. Do you understand that?
10
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
11
THE COURT: So you couldn't use the evidence that you
may have heard in the other case against the government in this
case or against Ms. Young in this case. Do you understand
14
```

```
that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: You would have to apply the law as I
17
instruct you, not however some other judge may have instructed
you. Do you understand that?
19
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: So you believe you could be fair,
impartial, and hear -- and decide this case only on the
evidence and law presented in this case?
23
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
24
THE COURT: Your next question, you answered yes to
question 32, and that's the question that asks you, no matterCase 1:23-cr-00241-TSC-GMH
Document 83 Filed 09/04/24 Page 142 of 273
142
what you may have heard or seen about events at the Capitol on
January 6th, no matter what feelings or opinions you may have
formed, do you believe you can put all of that aside and decide
this case only on the evidence you received in court, follow
the law that I give you, and decide the case in a fair and
impartial manner. And you answered yes; is that correct?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: I'm going to ask you a few more questions.
These questions have nothing to do with those other questions.
All right?
11
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
THE COURT: How long have you lived in DC?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All my life.
THE COURT: Without disclosing your address, what
1.5
neighborhood do you live in?
16
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Northeast DC.
THE COURT: Do you have children or stepchildren?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have one son.
19
20
```

```
THE COURT: What's the highest level of school you
completed?
21
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: High school.
THE COURT: What's your current occupation?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right now, I'm a retired federal
government worker.
25
THE COURT: Where did you work before your retirement? Case 1:23-cr-00241-TSC-GMH Document 83
Filed 09/04/24 Page 143 of 273
143
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Department of Defense at
Fort Belvoir, Virginia.
THE COURT: What did you do for them?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a procurement tech.
THE COURT: How long did you do that job?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: For 51 years.
THE COURT: 51 years, wow.
Ma'am, if you have a partner or spouse, what's their
occupation?
10
11
12
13
14
15
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't.
THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a party to a
lawsuit yourself?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
THE COURT: Have you ever testified in court before?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
2.5
THE COURT: Ma'am, what social media platforms do you
use, and how often do you use them?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Social media platforms. Do you use
Facebook? Do you use Instagram? Twitter?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just look on Facebook. That's
the only one.
THE COURT: You look, you don't post?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, no.
```

```
THE COURT: Sometimes look at Facebook. Do you look Case 1:23-cr-00241-TSC-GMH Document 83
Filed 09/04/24 Page 144 of 273
144
1
at any others? Do you look at Instagram?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I'm not on Instagram.
THE COURT: Or Twitter?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Twitter, no.
THE COURT: What source or sources do you rely upon to
get your news? Where do you go to get your news?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just TV. I mean, news. I mean,
yeah, with the news.
THE COURT: What channels do you watch?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I watch Channel 5 and Channel 9,
11
Fox.
12
THE COURT: You know, I should know this. I don't
watch the local news. Channel 9. Fox is Channel 5, right?
14
What's 9?
1.5
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I use Fox in the morning, like
when I wake up in the morning, just to see what took place the
night before and to hear about the weather. And 9, Channel 9.
18
THE COURT: Is that CBS, Channel 9? What is
19
20
Channel 9?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it is.
THE COURT: It is, right?
22
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just say 9.
23
THE COURT: Channel 9.
I cut you off, though. You do Fox in the morning. Do
you do 9 at night? Case 1:23-cr-00241-TSC-GMH Document 83 Filed 09/04/24 Page 145 of 273
145
1
2
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right, in the evening time.
THE COURT: Government?
MS. LEDERER: No, thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Gross?
```

```
MR. GROSS: Thank you, ma'am. Can I ask, how long ago
was the other January 6th trial that you sat on?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I'm not mistaken, I think it
was -- it's about two years, two-and-a-half years.
MR. GROSS: Do you remember it at all?
10
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry?
11
MR. GROSS: Do you remember sitting on the jury at
12
that time?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14
MR. GROSS: Were you shown any video at that trial?
15
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
16
17
18
19
20
21
MR. GROSS: I don't have any other questions.
THE COURT: Okay. You're all done. Thank you so
(Prospective juror exits courtroom.)
MR. GROSS: Your Honor, I move to strike for cause.
24
She already sat on a January 6th jury. I think it would be
confusing. There are images that she's already seen, arguments
146
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

that she's already heard. It's not that long ago, and I think let's give somebody else a chance. THE COURT: I hear you on that, but I don't know if that's a basis to strike her for cause, other than she's already done her duty. But I hear your other arguments. Government, what do you say to that? MS. LEDERER: Your Honor had asked any of the questions that the government was going to ask. You asked her if she can set aside all prior evidence from the other trial, all prior arguments from the other trial, and even all prior law from the other trial, and listen to the evidence, the facts, and the law specifically here. She said that she could do it. She said that affirmatively. And with the catchall question, number 32, she said that there's absolutely nothing that would cause her to be impartial. So based off the fact that she said she could set everything aside, counsel had the ability to say, hey, would it confuse you to hear the second trial. He didn't ask that question. But she was extremely affirmative to say she could set everything else aside and treat this as a new trial. THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to strike her for cause, although I hear you, Mr. Gross, I mean in the sense that she's done her duty. Somehow she's come back up on the rolls again. But she seemed prepared to serve as a juror again in this case. She wasn't complaining.