

## **Judicial Contract for Judicial Powers by and between Marc Anthony Bru and James E. Boasburg in the case No. 21-cr-352**

Notice to James E. Boasburg, this contract must be signed and dated to proceed the case No. 21-cr-352, otherwise James E. Boasburg is forcing an association in violation of peremptory norms establishing an international crime.

James E. Boasburg acknowledges and accepts that communism is a form of murder, wherein any communist tactics by the actions of James E. Boasburg is determined in the sense where James E. Boasburg is creating an adhesion contract placing Marc Anthony Bru as an inferior party subjected to an attempt to place Marc Anthony Bru in perpetual exile which is a form of statelessness which is equivalent to being dead to rights wherein Marc Anthony Bru with the right to prejudice an accusation charge for attempted murder as to wit;

James E. Boasburg acknowledges and accepts that ridicule is defined as the subjection of someone or something to contemptuous or dismissive language and or behavior and is a communist tactic listed in Sual Alinsky's Rules for Radicals. Therefor when Marc Anthony Bru asks any particular question, James E. Boasburg claiming a fiduciary obligation as a trustee in the judicial obligation as a judge must answer every question asked by Marc Anthony Bru to the satisfaction of Marc Anthony Bru being the beneficiary of this trial. James E. Boasburg has no right to object to answer any question that Marc Anthony Bru asks in these proceedings for case No. 21-cr-352.

James E. Boasburg acknowledges and accepts that James E. Boasburg does not have any right to act in any form of totalitarianism against Marc Anthony Bru and James E. Boasburg must on the record deny under the penalties of perjury that James E. Boasburg is not a member of the Totalitarian Party.

James E. Boasburg acknowledges and accepts that a criminal intent can only be tried when a conviction in civil trial to the damages in equity or injury to persons wherein the injunction determines the evidence is sufficient to be prosecuted in a criminal trial, otherwise in violation of due process of the rights established for Marc Anthony Bru in the preservation of the human rights and peremptory norms where derogability is not allowed in the obligations set forth for James E. Boasburg.

James E. Boasburg acknowledges and accepts that James E. Boasburg and no body politic has the right to punish Marc Anthony Bru. Jail and prison today is a profitable business. That is not the legal principle in what jail is used for. Jail back in the day were a benefit to secure a suspect in protective custody in protection from the people in public as to the victim's family, loved ones and friends wanted to retaliate against the suspect for the accusations made against the suspect and the trial served a benefit for the suspect to prove innocence. The accusations made against Marc Anthony Bru in Case No 21-cr-352 have no damages to equity in relation to any public or private property, nor any injury to persons.

James E. Boasburg acknowledges and accepts that any violation of the obligations set forth in this contract can prejudice a war crime charge by James E. Boasburg for the matter of fact that James E. Boasburg is in the capacity of militant as defined in chapter 4, section 23, subsection 1,2 and 3 of the Law of Armed Conflict wherein the political nature of a trial is establishing a hostile situation by placing Marc Anthony Bru as to dead to rights wherein James E. Boasburg is in the capacity of hostility attacking Marc Anthony Bru who is in fact a civilian.

\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Marc Anthony Bru

Title:  
**Judicial Contract for**  
**Judicial Powers by and**  
**between Marc Anthony**  
**Bru and James E.**  
**Boasburg in the case**  
**No. 21-cr-352**

-

The United States of America)

On this \_\_\_\_\_, the Marc Anthony Bru, personally appeared before me and acknowledged to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence that he or she executed the same in his or hers stated capacity, and that by his or hers signature on contract is the person or entity upon behalf of which the person signed is a free and voluntary act and deed for the purposes and upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth.

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**By** \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, **Notary**

**Public**

**My Commission Expires:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
James E. Boasburg

Title:  
**Judicial Contract for**  
**Judicial Powers by and**  
**between Marc Anthony**  
**Bru and James E.**  
**Boasburg in the case**  
**No. 21-cr-352**

-

The United States of America)

On this \_\_\_\_\_, the James E. Boasburg, personally appeared before me and acknowledged to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence that he or she executed the same in his or hers stated capacity, and that by his or hers signature on contract is the person or entity upon behalf of which the person signed is a free and voluntary act and deed for the purposes and upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth.

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**By** \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, **Notary**

**Public**

**My Commission Expires:** \_\_\_\_\_